Cogenerated Electrical

Under the Public Utilities Code, "net energy metering" involves "measuring the difference between the electricity supplied through the electric grid and the electricity generated by an eligible customer-generator and fed back to the electric grid over a 12-month period" and means using a single meter capable of registering the flow of electricity in two directions, so that the customer is accurately billed or credited. Such customers may be net surplus generators or net consumers. The Energy Resources Surcharge is imposed on the consumption of electrical energy purchased from an electric utility, and every person consuming electrical energy in this state purchased from an electric utility is liable for the surcharge. The fact that the customer also generates electricity does not alter the fact that the customer has consumed electricity purchased from (i.e., supplied by) an electric utility. Furthermore, the amount of electricity consumed by the customer is offset by the amount of the electricity generated by the customer and fed back to the electric grid. Hence, the electricity generated by the customer is a form of consideration "paid" by the customer to the electric utility in exchange for the electricity the customer consumed.

Accordingly, the surcharge must be applied to the total amount of electricity supplied by the utility and consumed by the customer, and whether the customer is a "net consumer" or a "net generator" does not affect how the surcharge is applied. 6/7/11.
Memorandum

To: Monte Williams

From: Bill Kimsey

Date: November 8, 1995

Subject: Energy Resources Surcharge Exemption Requested By (Redacted)

This memorandum is in reference to the letter of August 18, 1995, written by (redacted). In their letter, they request exemption from the energy surcharge for the equivalent kilo-watt hours (kWH) purchased from Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP) which is self generated and sold to LADWP. You requested that we research the issue and determine whether it has been answered before.

There is only one related issue that has been addressed. In 1987, we registered (redacted) as a utility because they purchased a cogeneration plant which had previously been registered as a utility under the name of (redacted). The (redacted) wrote to Mr. William Bennett requesting relief from the reporting requirement. We requested Legal’s input on the issue (copy of David Levine’s January 7, 1988, response attached) and ultimately informed the (redacted) that they must file quarterly returns.

(Redacted) request is for an exemption from the surcharge because they are, in Mr. (Redacted) view, a utility. However, all utilities must pay the surcharge on all electrical energy purchased and consumed in activities unless used directly in the generation, transmission and/or distribution. This is evidenced in Sections 40019 and 40019.1. The taxable use of energy sold to a utility is included on line 10 of the tax return.

Mr. (Redacted) states that “we believe that the electricity generated by (redacted) and consumed by (redacted) should be exempt from the surcharge, whether distributed to the campus via LADWP’s system or the (redacted).” Energy wheeled into the LADWP system is a sale to LADWP for which (redacted) is compensated. The electrical energy generated by (redacted) is not actually consumed by it.
Through our research, we found a listing of all California electricity generation plants which was sent to us by the California Energy Commission. A cursory review of the list revealed that, as of January 1992, there were 164 power plants in (redacted) County. At least 96 of those power plants were cogeneration facilities owned by persons not registered with the Board as utilities. Section 40010 defines an Electric Utility. That section states, “The term does not include a person who generates electrical energy or redistributes energy solely for his own use or for the use of his tenants and not for the sale to others.” If we decide to register (redacted) and allow an offset, as requested, we will then need to register all owners of power generation plants and offer them the same exemption.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

BK:mg

Attachments

Cc: Jim Van Gundy
Memorandum

To: Mr. Monte Williams, Administrator
    Excise Taxes Division (MIC: 56)

Date: March 6, 1996

From: Janet Vining
    Legal Division

Subject: Energy Resources Surcharge – Self-Generated Electrical Energy

This is in reply to your request for our opinion as to whether the (redacted) is exempt from the Energy Resources Surcharge (“Surcharge”) under the facts set forth in a letter dated August 18, 1995 from Mr. (redacted) of the (redacted). For the reasons explained below, it is our opinion that the (redacted) is not exempt from the Surcharge.

According to (redacted) letter, the (redacted) generates electricity which is “wheeled” (distributed) to other (redacted) substations via the distribution system of the (redacted). Through an agreement between the (redacted) and (redacted), the (redacted) utilizes (redacted)’s distribution system to supply cogenerated electricity to three smaller substations of the University. The wheeled electricity passes through (redacted)’s metering equipment and the (redacted) is billed for the electricity it receives through (redacted)’s system. The (redacted) argues that it is exempt from the Energy Resources Surcharge pursuant to 18 CCR sec. 2300. Essentially, the (redacted) contends that it is exempt from the Surcharge because it is consuming self-generated electricity.

The facts of this case do not appear to support the (redacted) position. The stated facts indicate that the electricity generated by the (redacted) goes into the general power grid of (redacted) and is commingled with power (redacted) generates itself or receives from various sources. In other words, the electricity the (redacted) generates is not necessarily the electricity it receives and consumes.

Given these circumstances, there is no basis to conclude that the (redacted) is consuming only self-generated electricity. Accordingly (redacted) would not be exempt from the Surcharge.
JV:es

Cc:    Ms. Mary Armstrong
    Mr. Bill Kimsey (MIC: 56)