STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION

LEGAL DIVISION (MIC:82) 450 N STREET, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA (P.O. BOX 942879, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 94279-0082) Telephone: (916) 445-5550 FAX: (916) 323-3387



JOHAN KLEHS First District, Hayward

DEAN ANDAL Second District, Stockton

ERNEST J. DRONENBURG, JR. Third District, San Diego

> BRAD SHERMAN Fourth District, Los Angeles

> > KATHLEEN CONNELL Controller, Sacramento

BURTON W. OLIVER Executive Director

Re: XX

Dear Mr. ---:

This is in response to your letter dated December 29, 1994 regarding the application of tax to your lease of a vehicle in California.

You entered into a lease of a vehicle in Texas. You thereafter moved to California, bringing the vehicle with you. You then received a new lease statement which reflected an increase in your rental payment of \$53.90. When you inquired the reason for the increase, the lessor, A, advised you that the increase was for California tax on the lease. You enclosed a copy of your lease contract and state that it reflects a rental tax that you paid to Texas in the amount of \$3,147.38. You ask whether you must pay tax to California.

As relevant here, leases of tangible personal property in California are continuing sales with respect to any period in which the property is in California unless the lessor has paid California sales tax reimbursement or use tax measured by purchase price. (Rev. & Tax. Code §§ 6006(g)(5), 6006.1 (the property must also be leased in substantially the same form as acquired).) When a lease is a continuing sale, the lessee owes use tax measured by the rentals payable. (Reg. 1660.) The lessor must collect that tax from the lessee and remit it to the state, and must give a receipt to the lessee showing the amount of tax collected. (Reg. 1686.)

Here, the lessor did not pay California sales tax reimbursement or use tax. Therefore, its lease of the vehicle to you is a taxable continuing sale with respect to any period in which the vehicle is in California.

You note your concern regarding the tax paid to Texas. You state that you paid tax to Texas in the amount of \$3,147.38. However, the lease contract you provided actually states that

March 16, 1995

the amount in question, included on the lease contract as item 9b, is an estimate of taxes that you will pay during the term of the lease. Furthermore, it indicates that such amount is calculated with the formula "4b x 6 + 3c." (Item 3c is Sales/Excise/Use Tax due at lease inception. Item 4b is Sales, Use or Rental Tax due on the monthly lease payment. Item 6 is the lease term.) Items 4b and 3c are both marked "N/A," so it is not clear how the amount shown in item 9b was calculated. However, it is our understanding that the lessor would have paid an amount of tax to Texas and included that amount in its calculations of how much to charge you as lease payments. The figure you mention apparently reflects that calculation.

The only credit available in California for taxes a person pays to another state is against that person's own use tax liability in this state. (Rev. & Tax. Code § 6406.) The California use tax on leases of tangible personal property is imposed on the lessee whereas our understanding of the Texas tax in question is that it is imposed on the lessor (even though you may end up bearing the ultimate economic burden in both states). Since each tax is imposed on a different person, the section 6406 credit is not available. Furthermore, the section 6406 credit is not allowed against taxes measured by the periodic payments due under a lease to the extent that the taxes imposed by the other state were also measured by periodic payments made under the lease for a period prior to the use of the property in this state.

Thus, we conclude that your lease of the vehicle is subject to California use tax for periods that the vehicle is in this state. If you have further questions, feel free to write again.

Sincerely,

David H. Levine Supervising Staff Counsel

DHL:cl

cc: Torrance District Administrator Out-of-State District Administrator Sacramento District Administrator bc: Torrance Compliance (w/attach.) Out-of-State Compliance (w/attach.)

Although it appears that this is a Torrance account, I am not positive, so I am sending this note to both of you. The statement provided by A (copies of the statement and the lease are attached) does not separately state an amount for tax even though A claims that is the reason for the increase. Although it is possible that A sent some other document to Mr. --- reflecting the amount of California tax included in his rental payment, it does not seem likely based on Mr. ---'s comments. Thus, it appears that A may not be complying with Regulation 1686.